

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The state continues to address the four major priorities under the Community Development Block Grant Program 1. Water and wastewater projects, 2. Economic Development, 3. Public Facilities (not W/S projects and 4. Planning and Capacity building.

Approximately 50% of all funds go toward addressing water and wastewater projects. Higher consideration is given to those communities that may be under a consent order from the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. Also higher consideration is given to those communities with higher low to moderate income percentages.

Approximately 20% of funds go toward addressing publicly owned infrastructure projects support and expanding business that will create jobs for low to moderate income persons. During this program year 24 jobs were created with 18 being LMI.

Emergency Solutions Grant Program

Strategies outlined by the Governor's Interagency Council on Homelessness' (GICH) and adopted by the Oklahoma Department of Commerce/ Community Development (ODOC/CD) continue to advance and energize the state's efforts to identify and combat the issues that result in homelessness. ODOC/CD continues to devote federal resources to identify, strategize and implement effective ways to relieve the burden of low-income and in many cases homeless individuals and families. The Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program is a component of the state's efforts to alleviate homelessness in Oklahoma.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
State CDBG Program - Econ Dev	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	120	0	0.00%	120	24	20.00%
State CDBG Program - Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	5000	0	0.00%	5000	235180	4,703.60%
State CDBG Program - Planning	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	1	1	100.00%
State CDBG Program - State CDBG Admin/TA	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	1	0	0.00%	1	1	100.00%
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1563557	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1400	0	0.00%	1400	1073	76.64%
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1563557	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	0	0.00%	5000	5781	115.62%

State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1563557	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0				
State ESG Program	Homeless	HOME: \$ / ESG: \$1563557	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	900	0	0.00%	900	9780	1,086.67%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	40	30	75.00%	40	44	110.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	15	75.00%	10	12	120.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	10	10	100.00%	10	12	120.00%
State HOME Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	0	10		40	60	150.00%
State HOPWA Program	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	55	50	90.91%	55	50	90.91%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Under the State's CDBG program the major priorities are Water and Wastewater projects, Economic Development, Other Public Facilities (not water and wastewater projects) and Planning and Capacity Building. Strategically, the State allocates approximately 45 percent to Water and Wastewater projects, 20% toward economic development, 30% toward other public facilities and the remaining for local planning. With regards

to water and wastewater projects, priority emphasis is given to those communities that are experiencing severe compliance problems as determined by Consent Orders issued by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality. With regard to other projects, funds are targeted to the highest low to moderate income communities.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	0	73	0	2,667
Black or African American	0	74	0	647
Asian	0	0	0	10
American Indian or American Native	0	24	0	906
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	5
Total	0	171	0	4,235
Hispanic	0	0	0	1,602
Not Hispanic	0	171	0	3,055

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Under the CDBG program most set-asides of funds are competitive. Under the competitive program one of the most important rating criteria is the utilization of Low to Moderate Income. Generally the poorer the community the more likely the community will get funded.

The State ESG Program requires that the HUD regulations regarding eligibility are strictly followed. Participants are either literally homeless for Shelter and Rapid Rehousing assistance or, for Prevention assistance, the income is below the 30% Average Median Income as stated in the HUD regulations.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		0	17,978,730
HOME		0	
HOPWA			
ESG			1,522,558

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

Typically other resources provided/matched with CDBG funds include: State appropriated funds from the Rural Economic Action Plan (REAP) and local funds from units of general local governments. Also funds from the USDA-Rural Development and revolving loan funds from the Oklahoma Water Resources Board.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
State of Oklahoma	100		State Geography

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The State of Oklahoma does not set aside reserved dollar amounts of assistance specifically for geographic areas within the State for CDBG, HOME, ESG or HOPWA programs. Rather each of these programs has its own distribution procedures that sometimes include specific requirements for projects within the state. These programs cover all 77 Counties.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG-Proposed leverage must be directly related to any proposed project. CDBG projects are awarded "points" based on verified leverage. Leverage may consist of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash includes other federal/state grants and loans and local capital improvement funds set-aside for a specified purpose in a municipal or county budget. Cash also include the fair market value of land, building or materials portion of infrastructure improvements. In-kind includes the value of force account labor, voluntary labor (\$10.00 per hour) or the services and supplies provided by another entity.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	6,282,399
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	172,191
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	6,454,590
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	1,325,624
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	5,128,967

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
12-1430	03/31/2017	147,191	0	0	15,158	9,843	0	172,191

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	176,510	164,527	0	11,983

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	38,656,550	19,328,275	0	1,280	73,631	19,253,364
Number	141	2	0	1	3	135
Sub-Contracts						
Number	114	17	0	0	5	92
Dollar Amount	15,822,063	0	0	0	1,844,966	13,977,097
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	38,656,550	554,669	38,101,881			
Number	141	6	135			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	114	12	102			
Dollar Amount	15,822,063	1,805,500	14,016,563			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
Dollar Amount	1,300	0	0	0	0	1,300

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		1		1,268,048		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		24		67,000		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	4,000	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	900	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	55	0
Total	4,955	0

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	60	44
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	10	12
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	10	12
Total	80	68

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. The State's HOME program biggest challenge is not enough funds to support the housing needs of the State. The HOME program awards are based on the area with the biggest need. The HOME program continues to face the biggest challenge in the State, a need for more rental housing.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing projects have been funded. In the future, the State's HOME program will continue to award funds where there is the greatest need. A majority of the

allocation will continue to be used on Rental housing. If that need changes, the State will change it's future plans accordingly.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	0
Low-income	0	2
Moderate-income	0	6
Total	0	8

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

Under the States CDBG program no affordable housing proejcts have been funded. It continues to be difficult to award funds to extremely low income households. The State's HOME program offers more points to projects that are aimed for the extremely low to low income households.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their communities. The State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their communities. The State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their communities. The State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families

with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The State program funds a variety of organizations whose programs meet key needs of their communities. The State evaluates each Organization's success beginning with program descriptions submitted in grant applications through generating contracts and then monitoring the program to insure the steps described in the application and contract were successful and performance measures were met.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

HUD has advised OHFA that the following public housing authorities are considered troubled at this time.

Choctaw Electric Cooperative Housing Authority.

OHFA will work closely with HUD to provide technical assistance and oversight where necessary. **It is not anticipated that any HOME funds will be used to help troubled public housing authorities. The State of Oklahoma has not appropriated funds for this purpose, nor has it authorized OHFA to assume the federal government's role of subsidizing the operations of public housing agencies.**

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

With regard to the CDBG program the ODOC does not have jurisdictional authority to affect broad-based changes to a community's local ordinances. Additionally, from a statewide perspective the State Legislature is responsible for creating laws and regulations that may govern tax policies, fees and basic building codes, etc.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

One of the most important rating criteria utilized under the CDBG program is prioritizing communities with high low to moderate incomes. Poorer communities have a better chance to be funded.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

One of the most important rating criteria utilized under the CDBG program is prioritizing communities with high low to moderate incomes. Poorer communities have a better chance to be funded.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Within the CDBG program ODOC funds Economic Development projects. This program provides funding for communities to undertake publicly owned infrastructure to support a business that is expanding and committed to creating new jobs. Under the CDBG program at least 51% of the jobs created must be offered to persons who are of low to moderate income. ODOC has implementd and additional requirement which requires that the average of all jobs created must be at least 110% of the average county wage. Therefore, under this program low income persons/families are being hired into a better, higher paying job... which is usually higher than the average county wage.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the state's CDBG program most funding goes to small rural communities who lack the

administrative capacity to implement CDBG project without help. ODOC has developed over the years a strong relationship with the 11 designated Councils of Government. These regionally based organizations provide much needed administrative knowledge of the CDBG program to our rural communities. Additionally, ODOC requires all individuals who administer grants for a fee must be certified as CDBG grant administrator.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Under the current CDBG program housing projects are not funded. Therefore, no real coordination exists between public and private housing and social service agencies regarding the CDBG program.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Under the State CDBG program grant recipients (units of general local government) must provide documentation of steps taken to affirmatively further fair housing, regardless of the type of CDBG activity funded by ODOC for each fiscal year the grant recipient has received a CDBG contract. The local government must demonstrate that it is affirmatively furthering fair housing on a continual basis, not merely as a requirement of spending CDBG dollars. Efforts should be made to identify discriminatory housing patterns and alleviate them by working with developer, landlords, realtors, residents and government agencies.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

As the states ongoing responsibilities for the administration of the CDBG and ESG programs the ODOC conducts comprehensive monitoring reviews of funded projects. These reviews assure that projects are carried out efficiently, effectively and in compliance applicable laws and regulations. Federal and state requirements reviewed include; project progress, national objectives, eligible uses, fair housing and equal opportunity, environmental review, labor standards, procurement, administrative and financial requirements, etc. On-site and desk monitorings are conducted. The ODOC has adopted a detailed monitoring guide for both programs. These guides set for the entire process from notification of the sub-recipient, entrance conference, assessment process and and monitoring checklists, exit conference, monitoring write-up letter with findings and corrective action of the findings.

During every monitoring visit HOME's Compliance staff checks to see if grantees have affirmative marketing procedures in place. The Compliance staff checks for methods of informing the public, owners and potential tenants about fair housing laws, a description of what owners will do to affirmatively market housing assisted with HOME funds, a description of what owners will do to inform persons not likely to apply for housing and all HOME grantees must maintain records to document actions taken to affirmatively market HOME assisted units to assess marketing effectiveness. OHFA also require grantees to asses their affirmative marketing efforts and take corrective action when these efforts are not being done. Grantees must also demonstrate their attempt to utilize small business, women's business and minority business and evidence of attempts to hire low or very-low income persons within a target area. This information is reported in compliance with the Section 3 requirements.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The State has adopted a citizen participation and consultation process for the Consolidated Plan and CAPER. Because the applicable programs cover the entire State, announcements are sent primarily through the New Pioneer which is ODOCs Community Development newsletter. This new letter reaches all 600 communities, 77 Counties, Community Action Agencies, Public Housing Authorities, Council of Governments, and other state agencies. The New Pioneer reaches approximately 6000 email addresses. Plus ESG program announcements are released through the GovDelivery List serve and through Continuum of Care Networks. Additionally, applicable information is also placed on ODOCs website.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

At this time within the CDBG program the State is not changing any of its overall program objectives. However the grant maximums for Water and Wastewater projects have been increased from \$350,000 to \$450,000. The grant maximum has not been increased in approximately 9 years. The increase is needed to accommodate the increase in construction costs of water and wastewater projects.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

See attachment 1 for a list of monitoring schedule for program year 2016. HOME Yearly Monitoring Schedule

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

All Applicants for HOME Program funds for Rental and Homebuyer projects containing five (5) or more units must adopt affirmative marketing procedures and follow all affirmative marketing requirements for all HOME-assisted housing. Affirmative marketing requirements and procedures must include: methods for informing the public, owners and potential tenants about fair housing laws and the policies of the local program; a description of what owners and/or the program administrator will do to affirmatively market housing assisted with HOME Program funds; a description of what owners and/or the program administrator will do to inform persons not likely to apply for housing without special outreach; maintenance of records to document actions taken to affirmatively market HOME-assisted units and to assess marketing effectiveness; and a description of how efforts will be assessed and what corrective actions will be taken when requirements are not met.

In order to achieve compliance with the Affirmative Marketing, Minority Outreach, and Fair Housing requirements at 24 CFR Parts 92.350 and 92.351, Written Agreements shall be executed between OHFA and all Program Awardees. Written Agreements shall prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, age, handicap, or familial status in connection with any activities funded with HOME Investment Partnerships Program assistance.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects,

including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

See attachment 2 for the program income used in program year 2016. pi_by_fy for PY 2016

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Applicants of HOME funds are encouraged to create leverage resources. All sources of financing, except HOME, paying development budget costs are potentially eligible for leverage. Assistance for Homebuyers, such as a first mortgage, is not considered leverage. Public and private resources, such as Rural Housing Incentive Districts, CDBG, AHP, AHTC equity, Historic Tax Credit equity, USDA-RHS, HUD foundation funds, and private capital will be considered in the leverage determination.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	30	25
Tenant-based rental assistance	21	17
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	4	4

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

HIV Resource Consortium Inc. (Tulsa Cares) is on the only comprehensive non-profit social service agency that serves people infected or affected with HIV/AIDS in Northeast Oklahoma. Tulsa cares services include direct financial assistance through short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance (STRMU), tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA), and Permanent Housing Placement (deposit assistance).

RAIN Oklahoma provides a continuum of services throughout the Central and Western region of Oklahoma. RAIN provides HOPWA housing assistance throughout Western Oklahoma to low income HIV positive individuals and short-term rent, mortgage and utility assistance (strmu) and tenant-based rental assistance (tbra). RAIN clients are offered supportive services as well as rental and utility assistance to secure a stable and safe environment and prevent homelessness.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	OKLAHOMA
Organizational DUNS Number	824700058
EIN/TIN Number	736017987
Identify the Field Office	OKLAHOMA CITY
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	Vaughn
Middle Name	0
Last Name	Clark
Suffix	0
Title	Director of Community Development

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	900 N. Stiles Avenue
Street Address 2	0
City	Oklahoma City
State	OK
ZIP Code	73104-3234
Phone Number	4058155370
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	vaughn.clark@odoc.state.ok.us

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	
First Name	
Last Name	
Suffix	
Title	
Phone Number	
Extension	
Email Address	

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 04/01/2016
Program Year End Date 03/31/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GREAT PLAINS IMPROVEMENT FOUNDATION, INC
City: Lawton
State: OK
Zip Code: 73501, 2409
DUNS Number: 070845706
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 50650

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT ASSOCIATION
City: Enid
State: OK
Zip Code: 73701, 4670
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43192.4

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NORTHEAST OKLAHOMA CAA, INC
City: Jay
State: OK
Zip Code: 74346, 0603
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 129605.51

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: YOUTH AND FAMILY SERVICES, INC. (EL RENO)
City: El Reno
State: OK
Zip Code: 73036, 9120
DUNS Number: 168582302
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 77100

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.

City: Stigler

State: OK

Zip Code: 74462, 0727

DUNS Number: 119765923

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 131145

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Safenet Services, Inc

City: Claremore

State: OK

Zip Code: 74018, 0446

DUNS Number: 021111057

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43201.83

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NW Domestic Crisis Services, Inc.

City: Woodward

State: OK

Zip Code: 73801, 2825

DUNS Number: 867237471

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 143493

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: INCA Community Services, Inc.

City: Tishomingo

State: OK

Zip Code: 73460, 1605

DUNS Number: 119850428

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 131145

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Youth & Family Services of Washington County

City: Bartlesville

State: OK

Zip Code: 74006, 7135

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43201.83

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Ada Homeless Shelter

City: Ada

State: OK

Zip Code: 74820, 3267

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 75000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Southwestern Youth Services, Inc.

City: Altus

State: OK

Zip Code: 73522, 0175

DUNS Number: 833180664

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100650

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Northern Oklahoma Youth Services Center and Shelter, Inc.

City: Ponca City

State: OK

Zip Code: 74601, 1108

DUNS Number: 197399348

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43192

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Stillwather Domestic Violence Services, Inc.

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74075, 1439

DUNS Number: 627107543

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43192

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Payne County Youth Services, Inc.

City: Stillwater

State: OK

Zip Code: 74076, 2647

DUNS Number: 168591501

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43192.4

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Food and Shelter, Inc.

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73069, 5609

DUNS Number: 800338628

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 129265

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Thunderbird Clubhouse

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73071, 2967

DUNS Number: 28324650

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 38350

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Marie Detty Youth and Family Services, Inc.

City: Lawton

State: OK

Zip Code: 73501, 4016

DUNS Number: 605081462

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 100000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Women's Resource Center

City: Norman

State: OK

Zip Code: 73069,

DUNS Number:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 8000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: DV of North Central Oklahoma

City: Ponca City

State: OK

Zip Code: 74601, 5600

DUNS Number: 193806148

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 43192.4

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Lindsay Christian Outreach, Inc.

City: Lindsay

State: OK

Zip Code: 73052, 6448

DUNS Number: 79403896

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 6403

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Seminole County DV Taskforce

City: Seminole

State: OK

Zip Code: 74868, 3158

DUNS Number: 021883207

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 38550

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	193
Children	221
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	498
Total	912

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	189
Children	213
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	80
Total	482

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	1,104
Children	996
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	5
Total	2,105

Table 17 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	72
Children	54
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	126

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,422
Children	1,636
Don't Know/Refused/Other	35
Missing Information	20
Total	4,113

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	1,687
Female	2,805
Transgender	3
Don't Know/Refused/Other	8
Missing Information	79
Total	4,582

Table 20 - Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	2,012
18-24	440
25 and over	2,260
Don't Know/Refused/Other	4
Missing Information	61
Total	4,777

Table 21 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	36	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	1,685	0	0	0
Elderly	15	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	395	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	193	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	99	0	0	0
Other Disability	269	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	2,740	0	0	0

Table 22 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	70,635
Total Number of bed-nights provided	47,830
Capacity Utilization	67.71%

Table 23 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	10,143,481
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	174,960
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	706,771
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	11,201,868
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	22,227,080

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	19,640,592
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	3,206,804
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	6,952,128
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	13,740,421
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	43,539,945

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
Essential Services	0	0	15,721,145
Operations	0	0	20,323,478
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	36,044,623

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2014	2015	2016
HMIS	0	0	2,103,263
Administration	0	0	3,058,203
Street Outreach	0	0	2,382,188

Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2014	2015	2016
106,973,114	0	0	106,973,114

Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2014	2015	2016
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	5,133,700
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	38,568,177
Local Government	0	0	1,464,300
Private Funds	0	0	31,802,656
Other	0	0	42,760,243
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	0	119,729,076

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2014	2015	2016
226,702,190	0	0	226,702,190

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities